Office of the Inspector General Department of Defense Strategic Plan, FY 2007 - FY 2011

VISION:

One professional team strengthening the integrity, efficiency, and effectiveness of the Department of Defense.

MISSION:

Promote integrity, accountability, and improvement of Department of Defense personnel, programs and operations to support the Department's mission and serve the public interest.

CORE VALUES:

- ➤ Accountability
- > Integrity
- > Efficiency

GOAL 1: Improve the economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of Department of Defense personnel, programs and operations.

Objectives:

- Provide independent and objective audits, evaluations, and investigations
 of DoD programs and operations and fully inform the Secretary of
 Defense and the Congress about potential problems and deficiencies.
- 2. Identify and execute projects focused on improving efficiency and effectiveness in key strategic areas as identified by the Inspector General, including:
 - a. President's Management Agenda¹
 - b. Secretary of Defense Focus Areas¹
 - c. Deputy Secretary of Defense Priorities¹
 - d. IG Management Challenges for the Department¹
 - e. GAO High Risk Areas for the Department¹
 - f. Congressional Requests
 - 1 As outlined in Appendix A.
- 3. Recommend solutions to resolve identified risks and weaknesses.
- 4. Provide independent and objective information products to the Department, Congress, other Government agencies, and the public.

Means and Strategies:

- Conduct audits, investigations, inspections, assessments and evaluations
- Integrate the Global War on Terror (GWOT) and Southwest Asia (SWA) efforts of IG components
- Secure and allocate adequate resources to meet core mission requirements and implement GWOT/SWA efforts
- Leverage resources within IG and DoD oversight communities
- Evaluate and monitor program performance
- Demonstrate the inherent value of our products to stakeholders
- Evaluate impact of DoD IG findings and recommendations
- Develop oversight policy for DoD audit and investigative functions

Key Factors:

- GWOT
- Force Protection
- Resource constraints
- Catastrophic events (e.g., "9/11," "Hurricane Katrina")
- Department operational and personnel tempo
- Volume and complexity of congressional inquiries
- Increased demands from stakeholders (DOD, Congress, public)
- Internally generated initiatives by IG management
- Buy-in from stakeholders (DoD, Congress, public)

• Delays by DoD in implementing DoD IG recommendations

Program Evaluations:

- External reviews (i.e., PCIE, GAO, OMB)
- Oversight by Congress

Performance Measures/Indicators:

- Return on Investment Monetary benefits gained or recovered through
 DoD IG work products to improve program efficiency
- Non-monetary benefits achieved (i.e., prevention, improved effectiveness)
- Percentage of reports that address the key strategic areas identified in Appendix A
- Action taken by DoD management regarding IG recommendations
- Other benefits as further defined

GOAL 2: Eliminate fraud, waste, and abuse in the programs and operations of the Department.

Objectives:

- 1. Improve the ability of the Department to accomplish its mission by detecting and preventing fraud, waste, and abuse.
- 2. Promote accountability, ethics and integrity within the Department.
- 3. Provide independent and objective information products to the Department, Congress, other Government agencies, and the public.
- 4. Promote public confidence in DoD leadership and programs.

Means and Strategies:

- Conduct audits, investigations, inspections, evaluations, and assessments
- Integrate GWOT/SWA efforts of IG components: one team, one mission
- Operate and promote the DoD Hotline program
- Secure and allocate adequate resources to meet core mission requirements and implement GWOT/SWA efforts
- Leverage resources within IG and DoD oversight communities
- Demonstrate the inherent value of our products to stakeholders
- Communicate through a wide variety of products (e.g. testimony, reports, briefings, internet, periodicals, and information releases)
- Perform audit, investigative, and inspection policy oversight

Key Factors:

- GWOT
- Force Protection
- President's Management Agenda¹
- Secretary of Defense Focus Areas¹
- Deputy Secretary of Defense Priorities¹
- IG Management Challenges for the Department¹
- GAO High Risk Areas for the Department¹
- Resource constraints
- Catastrophic events (e.g., "9/11," "Hurricane Katrina")
- Department operational and personnel tempo
- Volume and complexity of congressional inquiries
- Increased demands from stakeholders (DOD, Congress, public)
- Buy-in from stakeholders (DoD, Congress, public)
- Competing investigative priorities
- Delays by DoD in implementing DoD IG recommendations
- 1 As outlined in Appendix A

Program Evaluations:

- External reviews (i.e., PCIE, GAO, OMB)
- Oversight by Congress

Performance Measures/Indicators:

- Percentage of investigations accepted by the U.S. Attorney for prosecution
- Percentage of investigations related to Secretary of Defense Focus Areas, force protection, GWOT and SWA
- Monetary benefits gained or recovered (separately identify amount associated with SECDEF Focus Areas, force protection, GWOT and SWA)
- Non-monetary benefits achieved (i.e., prevention, improved effectiveness)
- Percentage of fraud, waste and abuse recommendations implemented (separately identify percentage associated with SECDEF Focus Areas, force protection, GWOT and SWA)
- Percentage of DoD and congressional priorities and requests addressed in a timely manner
- Other benefits as further defined

GOAL 3: Ensure the efficiency and effectiveness of DoD IG work products, processes, and operations.

Objectives:

- 1. Establish short and long term priorities for the DoD IG.
- 2. Support the President's Management Agenda.
- 3. Ensure accountability for mission accomplishment.
- 4. Ensure accountability for strategic human capital management and merit system principles.
- 5. Improve the planning and use of DoD IG resources to ensure that relevant and timely information is provided to senior-level decision makers on critical issues.
- 6. Ensure that DoD IG performance measures focus on outcomes and impacts.
- 7. Improve component unique operations.
- 8. Capitalize on opportunities to integrate efforts of IG components.
- 9. Optimize jointness/coordination with other oversight agencies (leverage resources).
- 10. Ensure IG personnel receive the training and education needed to develop their full potential.

Means and Strategies:

- Ensure that resource requirements are fully considered in the budget process
- Ensure effective and efficient execution of the budget to ensure a significant return on investment
- Implement the human capital strategic plan
- Optimize utilization of information management systems
- Link performance plans and awards to the DOD IG Strategic Plan

Key Factors:

- GWOT
- Secretary of Defense Focus Areas¹ and Deputy Secretary of Defense Priorities¹
- Empowering and motivating employees
- BRAC relocation
- Resource constraints
- Catastrophic events (e.g., "9/11," "Katrina")
- Department and personnel operational tempo
- Increased demands from stakeholders (DOD, Congress, public)
- Buy-in from stakeholders (DoD, Congress, public)
- Workforce demographics
- 1 As outlined in Appendix A

Program Evaluation:

- PCIE peer reviews
- Annual Statement of Assurance
- Annual Organizational Assessment
- Quarterly and Annual Reports of DOD IG Strategic Performance
 Measures
- Internal management controls and assessments
- Internal quality assurance reviews
- OSD budget reviews
- Office of Government Ethics and OSD ethics reviews
- Security assessments

Performance Measures/Indicators:

- Peer review results
- Unqualified opinion on financial statements
- Attrition rate
- Retention rate
- Cycle times
- Positive outcomes/impacts achieved through implementation of DoD IG and component strategic plans

- Establishment and achievement of targets for DoD IG performance measures
- Positive outcomes/impacts achieved through joint efforts and coordination with other oversight agencies
- Compliance with mandatory and DOD IG initiated education requirements
- EEO/Affirmative Action

APPENDIX – A

A-1. SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOCUS AREAS:

(Also referred to as DoD Priorities to End of Term)

- i. Prevail in the Global War on Terror (GWOT)
- ii. Strengthen Joint Warfighting Capabilities
- iii. Focus on People
- iv. Transform Enterprise Management

A-2. DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE PRIORITIES:

- i. Pursue the Global War on Terror
- ii. Strengthen U.S. Combined and Joint Warfighting Capabilities
- iii. Meet the Challenge of Improvised Explosive Devices
- iv. Continue Transforming the Joint Force
- v. Significantly Improve Intelligence Capabilities
- vi. Continue Transforming Enterprise Management
- vii. Focus on People Military and Civilian
- viii. Improve Effectiveness and Efficiency Across the Board

APPENDIX – A

A-3. IG MANAGEMENT CHALLENGES FOR THE DEPARTMENT:

- i. Financial Management
- ii. Acquisition Processes and Contract Management
- iii. Joint Warfighting and Readiness
- iv. Information Security and Privacy
- v. Health Care
- vi. Equipping and Training Iraqi and Afghani Security Forces¹

A-4. GAO HIGH RISK SERIES FOR THE DEPARTMENT:

- i. DoD Approach to Business Transformation:
 - 1. Business Systems Modernization
 - 2. Personnel Security Clearance Program
 - 3. Support Infrastructure Management
 - 4. Financial Management
 - 5. Supply Chain Management
 - 6. Weapon Systems Acquisition
- ii. DoD Contract Management
- 1 This challenge has been added for FY08 and therefore was not included in the IG Summary of
 Management and Performance Challenges published in the FY07 DoD Agency Financial Report.

APPENDIX – A

- iii. DoD and Other Federal Agencies High Risk Areas:
 - 1. Strategic Human Capital Management
 - 2. Managing Federal Real Property
 - Protecting the Federal Government's Information
 Systems and the Nation's Critical Infrastructures
 - 4. Establishing Appropriate and Effective Information— Sharing Mechanisms to Improve Homeland Security
 - Ensuring the Effective Protection of Technologies
 Critical to U.S. National Security Interests
 - 6. Management of Interagency Contracting

APPENDIX – A

A-5. PRESIDENT'S MANAGEMENT AGENDA:

- i. Government-wide Initiatives:
 - 1. Strategic Management of Human Capital
 - 2. Competitive Sourcing
 - 3. Improved Financial Performance
 - 4. Expanded Electronic Government
 - 5. Budget and Performance Integration
- ii. Program Initiatives Specific to DoD:
 - 1. Privatization of Military Housing
 - 2. Better Research and Development Investment Criteria
 - 3. A "Right-Sized" Overseas Presence
 - 4. Coordination of Veterans Affairs and Defense Programs and Systems